

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/954,555	09/17/2001	Paul J. Thompson	11576.55USI1	9046
21127 7	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP			STEWART, ALVIN J	
ONE STATE STREET SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02109			3738	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/954,555	THOMPSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	oril 2005.					
·—, ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-34,36-39,45-51 and 53-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-34,36-39,45-51 and 53-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050706				

Application/Control Number: 09/954,555

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 12-15, 18-21, 23, 26-29, 31, 34, 36, 38, 45-51, 53-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al discloses a catheter having an elongated member, a stent, a sheath, a male interlock, a female interlock, a cell defined region (see Fig. 12), the stent ends after element 35 (see Fig. 5), the diameter of the stent is about 20mm, therefore, at least a portion of each first and second interlock structures being positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

Regarding claim 18, the elongated member can be interpreted as element structure 50.

The Examiner interpreted element structure 37 as the rounded enlargements. Structure 37 has curved region, therefore, the Examiner interpreted the curved region as the rounded enlargements.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 12-15, 18, 36, 38, 45, 46, 62 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robinson et al US Patent 6,077,297.

Robinson et al discloses a catheter having an elongated member (66), a stent (10), a sheath (60), a male interlock (81), a female interlock (opening between graft 20 and end of

stent), a cell defined region (graft 20), at least a portion of each first and second interlock structures being positioned a distance at most 5 mm from the cell defining region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 22 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Stallings does not disclose a radiopaque marker positioned adjacent to the implant mounting region.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Stallings et al reference by having a plurality of markers positioned adjacent to the implant mounting location in order to determine the exact location of the implant within the body lumen because Applicant has not disclosed that the radiopaque marker provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the delivery system of the Stallings et al reference because the surgeon would still be capable of seen the catheter and stent under fluoroscopy.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Stallings et al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4, 22 and 30.

Page 4

Claims 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 37 and 39 are r ejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stallings et al US Patent 6,776,791 B1.

Stallings et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Stallings does not disclose a portion having a distance at most 1 millimeter from the cell defining region of the implant.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of the interlock structures at most 1 mm because Applicant has not disclosed that by having a distance at most 1mm provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the above 5mm distance because it will perform equally the same as having 5mm.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Stallings et al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 16, 17, 24, 25, 32, 33, 37 and 39.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Stallings et al reference and the Robinson et al references still read on the rejected claims. As disclosed by US Patent '791 the stents are capable of having a diameter lower than 20 mm in the expanded position, therefore, the interlocks structures are capable of having a distance being at most 5 millimeters from the cell defining region. The phrase "at least a portion of the first interlock structure" in independent claims 1, 36 and 38 make the claims broad. The Applicant should discloses at least two enlargements positioned at the terminal end of the stent

Art Unit: 3738

and the enlargements being located a distance from the cell defining region of the implant, the distance being at most 5 millimeters from the cell defining region.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,555

Art Unit: 3738

55 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (to

ALVIN J. STEWART
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alvin J Stewart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

July 6, 2005.